IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL
AT NAINITAL
Criminal Revision No. 114 of 2002

Kawal Singh …Revisionist

Versus

4th Fast Track Court/
Additional Sessions Judge, Haridwar …Respondent

Hon'ble B.C. Kandpal, J.

Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

This revision has been preferred for setting aside the order dated 24.10.2002 passed by 4th F.T.C./Additional Sessions Judge, Haridwar in S.T. No. 44 of 2001.

Perusal of the record shows that on 24.10.2002 the charges were framed by the court.

Record further shows that the revision had never been admitted by this Court and this Court also did not pass any order with regard to the stay of further proceedings pending before the trial court.

In the event of the aforesaid circumstances, it has been brought to my notice today by the learned counsel for the parties that the trial by the court below has been concluded.

The revision is, therefore, has become infructuous.

Accordingly, the revision is dismissed being infructuous.

(B.C. Kandpal, J.)

29.07.2004
ASWAL